Monitoring Data Record

| Project Title: R-2635C Western Wake Freeway COE Action ID: 2007-02903-292 |
|--|
| Stream Name: <u>UT Reedy Branch – Site 3</u> <u>DWQ Number: 20071470</u> |
| City, County and other Location Information: UT Reedy Branch is located along I-540 just south |
| of Beaver Creek Commons Drive in Apex, NC. |
| Date Construction Completed: March 2011 |
| Monitoring Year: (2) of 5 |
| Ecoregion: 8 digit HUC unit 03020201 |
| USGS Quad Name and Coordinates: N 35 44' 29" W 78 53' 29" |
| Rosgen Classification: |
| Length of Project: 640' Urban or Rural: Urban Watershed Size: |
| Monitoring DATA collected by: M. Green and J. Young Date: 1/18/12 |
| Applicant Information: |
| Name: NCDOT Roadside Environmental Unit |
| Address: 1425 Rock Quarry Road Raleigh, NC 27610 |
| Telephone Number: (919) 861-3772 Email address: mlgreen@ncdot.gov |
| Consultant Information: |
| Name: |
| Address: |
| Telephone Number: Email address: |
| Project Status: Complete |
| |

Monitoring Level required by COE and DWQ (404 permit/ 401 Cert.): <u>Level</u> <u>1</u> Monitoring Level 1 requires completion of Section 1, Section 2 and Section 3

Permit States: **COE** (2007-02903-292) The permittee shall monitor the completed stream relocation in accordance with Monitoring Level 2 of the US Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District, Stream Mitigation Guidelines of April 2003. Monitoring will be conducted two times per year (spring and fall) each year of a 5-year monitoring period. The monitoring reports, including reference photographs, plant survival data and visual inspection notes identifying specific problem areas, will be submitted to the Corps of Engineers, Wilmington Regulatory Field Office within 60 days of completion of the monitoring. The monitoring report will also include a discussion of any deviations from the as-built condition and an evaluation of the significance of these deviations to channel stability. The success of the stream relocation as project mitigation will be evaluated based on those success criteria listed in the referenced Stream Mitigation Guidelines.

DWQ (20071470) The permittee shall visually monitor the vegetative plantings to assess and ensure complete stabilization of the mitigation stream segments. Riparian area success shall be determined by conducting stem counts to ensure a tree survival rate of 320 stems/acre. The monitoring shall be conducted annually for a minimum of 3 year after final planting. Photo documentation shall be utilized to document the success of the riparian vegetation and submitted to DWQ in a final report within sixty (60) days after completing monitoring. After 3 years the NC Turnpike Authority shall contact the DWQ to schedule a site visit to "close out" the mitigation site.

Section 1. PHOTO REFERENCE SITES

(Monitoring at all levels must complete this section)

| tal number of reference photo locations at this site: |
|--|
| total of 8 photo points were taken from 4 photo point locations, 2 photos of the vegetation |
| ots, and an overview photo of the site. |
| tes reference photos have been taken at this site: 7/7/11, 1/18/12 |
| dividual from whom additional photos can be obtained (name, address, phone): |
| her Information relative to site photo reference: A site map is included with this report owing the photo point locations. |
| If required to complete Level 3 monitoring only stop here; otherwise, complete section 2. |

Section 2. PLANT SURVIVAL

Attach plan sheet indicating reference photos.

Identify specific problem areas (missing, stressed, damaged or dead plantings):

It was noted in 2011 that the buffer was not planted at 680 trees per acre but at a lower stem count resulting in the low at planting numbers for vegetation plot #1 (23 trees) and plot #2 (33 trees).

Estimated causes, and proposed/required remedial action: A supplemental planting was completed on January 12, 2012. Bareroot seedlings were added in the buffer and additional live stakes were planted at the previously noted headcuts. This supplemental planting has increased the at planting numbers for vegetation plot # 1 (35 trees) and plot # 2 (39 trees).

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Planting was completed at this stream relocation in March 2011 and a supplemental planting occurred on January 12, 2012. The following planted species were planted on the streambank: black willow and silky dogwood live stakes and in the buffer area: tulip poplar, sycamore, river birch, and green ash seedlings. Two 50 x 50 foot vegetation plots were set in the buffer area in May 2011. Year 2 plant survival counts will be conducted during the 2012 summer evaluation. NCDOT will continue to monitor plant survival at this stream relocation.

Section 3. CHANNEL STABILITY

Visual Inspection: The entire stream project as well as each in-stream structure and bank stabilization/revetment structure must be evaluated and problems addressed.

Report on the visual inspection of channel stability. <u>Physical measurements of channel stability/morphology will not be required.</u> Include a discussion of any deviations from as-built and an evaluation of the significance of these deviations and whether they are indicative of a stabilizing or destabilizing situation.

The stream relocation is stabilized for the Year 2 Winter evaluation, except for, the three areas noted below. The headcuts were marked in the field during the 2011 evaluation and showed little or no change during this evaluation. NCDOT will continue to monitor channel stability at this stream relocation.

| 1/18/12 | Station | Station | Station | Station | Station |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| | 321+50-L- | 318+90-L- | 317+70-L- | Number | Number |
| | (additional photo) | (additional photo) | (additional photo) | | |
| Structure | | | | | |
| Type | | | | | |
| Is water | | | | | |
| piping | | | | | |
| through or | | | | | |
| around | | | | | |
| structure? | | | | | |
| Head cut or | | Slight Headcut | Headcut has | | |
| down cut | | has formed | formed | | |
| present? | | upstream of | downstream of | | |
| | | PP#4 | PP#4 | | |
| Bank or | Bank scouring | | | | |
| scour erosion | along left bank | | | | |
| present? | upstream of | | | | |
| • | PP#2 | | | | |
| Other | | | | | |
| problems | | | | | |
| noted? | | | | | |

Section 4. <u>DEBIT LEDGER</u>

The entire UT to Reedy Branch (Site 3) stream mitigation site was used for the R-2635C project to compensate for unavoidable stream impacts.

UT to Reedy Branch



Photo Point #1 (Upstream)



Photo Point #1 (Downstream)



Photo Point #2 (Upstream)



Photo Point #2 (Downstream)



Photo Point #3 (Upstream)



Photo Point #3 (Downstream)

Year 2 Winter - January 2012

UT to Reedy Branch



Photo Point #4 (Upstream) (Crossvane #2)



Photo Point #4 (Downstream)



Vegetation Plot #1



Vegetation Plot #2



Overview Photo of Site



Crossvane #1

Year 2 Winter – January 2012

UT to Reedy Branch



Left Bank Scouring @ STA. 321+50-L-



Slight Heacut @ STA. 318+90-L-



Headcut @ STA. 317+70-L-

Year 2 Winter – January 2012

